

1 Joseph H. Harrington  
2 United States Attorney  
3 Eastern District of Washington  
4 Patrick J. Cashman  
5 Assistant United States Attorney  
6 Post Office Box 1494  
7 Spokane, WA 99210-1494  
8 Telephone: (509) 353-2767

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 JAYDIN H.W. LEDFORD,

15 Defendant.

2:19-CR-0049-RMP-1

Motion for Review of the Order  
Setting Conditions of Release

Notice of Hearing on Motion  
With Oral Argument  
April 16, 2019 - 10:00 a.m.

16  
17 Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Joseph H. Harrington,  
18 United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Washington, and Patrick J.  
19 Cashman, Assistant United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Washington,  
20 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3145, hereby moves the Court for Review of the Order  
21 Setting Conditions of release by Magistrate Judge Rodgers.  
22

23 In reviewing the Magistrate Judge's Order granting release from detention,  
24 the District Court is to make its own *de novo* determination of facts; the ultimate  
25 determination of propriety of detention is also to be decided without deference to  
26 the Magistrate Judge's ultimate conclusion. *United States v. Koenig*, 912 F.2d  
27 1190 (9th Cir. 1990).  
28

1 This motion is based upon the facts and evidence presented which show that  
2 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the the safety  
3 of the community pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142. Relevant facts and law supporting  
4 continued detention follow and will be set forth in further detail during the hearing  
5 on the motion.

6 The Defendant was indicted by a Grand Jury sitting in the Eastern District of  
7 Washington on March 5, 2019 for violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2261A, Cyberstalking,  
8 and 18 U.S.C. § 875(c), Threats in Interstate Communications. On February 21,  
9 2019, the Defendant made an initial appearance before this Court. The United  
10 States moved for the Defendant's detention at that time. (ECF No. 4). After  
11 hearing arguments from both parties, the Court granted the United States' motion.  
12 The Defendant subsequently appeared for arraignment on March 6, 2019.

13 On April 15, 2019, the Magistrate Court held a hearing on the Defendant's  
14 motion for release. (ECF No. 27). After hearing arguments from both parties, the  
15 Magistrate Court granted the Defendant's motion. The Magistrate Court further  
16 imposed conditions of release. (ECF No. 31). The Government subsequently filed  
17 a motion to stay the Defendant's release and now appeals the Magistrate Court's  
18 ruling. (ECF No. 32).

19 Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the judicial officer shall consider certain factors  
20 in determining whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure  
21 the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the  
22 community. These factors include:  
23

- 24 (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether  
25 the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic drug;
- 26 (2) the weight of the evidence against the person;
- 27 (3) the history and characteristics of the person, including-  
28

1 (A) the person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties,  
2 employment, financial resources, length of residence in the  
3 community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to  
4 drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning  
appearance at court proceedings; and

5 (B) whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person  
6 was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial,  
7 sentencing, appeal or completion of sentence for an offense  
under Federal, State, or local law; and

8 (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community  
9 that would be posed by the person's release.

10 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)

11 Here, the Government maintains that the Defendant is a risk to the  
12 community and no condition or combination of conditions can reasonably assure  
13 the safety of the community.

14 The nature and circumstances of the offense charged as well as the nature  
15 and seriousness of the danger to the community and any person all favor detention.  
16 The Defendant is alleged to have threatened to kill multiple County Sheriffs if they  
17 failed to comply with the recently adopted Washington State Initiative 1639. As  
18 noted in the Government's response to the Defendant's motion for release, the  
19 Defendant was specifically targeting law enforcement officers. He was also  
20 specifically espousing his intent to kill. At the most basic level, the Defendant has  
21 shown he intends to be a violent individual. (ECF No. 29). As such, the Defendant  
22 is a clear and present danger to the community.

23 The weight of the evidence also strongly favors detention. As the  
24 Government has detailed previously and will detail during the hearing on the  
25 instant motion, the weight of the evidence against the Defendant is strong. While  
26 case law dictates that this prong is the "least important" factor, the Court must  
27 "consider the evidence in terms of the likelihood that [Defendant] will pose a  
28 danger" as well as whether the evidence is strong and the penalties sufficient to

1 cause the Defendant to flee. *United States v. Hir*, 517 F.3d 1081, 1090 (9th Cir.  
2 2008).

3 Finally, the characteristics of the Defendant favor detention. Again, as noted  
4 in the Government's response to the Defendant's motion for release, any set of  
5 conditions imposed upon the Defendant are ultimately left to the dependability and  
6 willingness of the Defendant to comply. The Defendant, in his past behavior, has  
7 indicated that he either is unwilling or unable to obtain the necessary treatment and  
8 medications to adequately address his mental health issues. Moreover, all aspects  
9 of the Defendant's release plan are of a voluntary nature. At any point, the  
10 Defendant could decide to stop treatment and/or stop taking his medications. The  
11 lack of a controlled environment to ensure compliance increases the Defendant's  
12 risk to the safety of the community. As the Ninth Circuit has taken time to point  
13 out, any release conditions contain "an Achilles' heel ... virtually all of them hinge  
14 on the defendant's good faith compliance." *Hir*, 517 F.3d at 1092 (internal  
15 citations omitted). More strict release conditions are insufficient to ensure the  
16 Defendant's compliance with the Court's orders and protect the community.

17  
18 The United States respectfully requests the Court grant its Motion for  
19 Detention.

20 Respectfully submitted: April 15, 2019.

21 Joseph H. Harrington  
22 United States Attorney

23 s/ Patrick J. Cashman  
24 Patrick J. Cashman  
25 Assistant United States Attorney  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 15, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Andrea George  
Federal Defenders of Eastern Washington and Idaho

s/ Patrick J. Cashman  
Patrick J. Cashman  
Assistant United States Attorney